AN EOQ MODEL UNDER PRICE CHANGE ANTICIPATION FOR A SYSTEM WITH INSUFFICIENT STORAGE CAPACITY

Вy

Nitin Shah

1

Y. K. Shah



W P No. 856 March 1990

The main objective of the working paper series of the IIMA is to help faculty members to test out their research findings at the pre-publication stage.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AHMEDABAD-380 056 INDIA

PURCHASED
APPROVAL
CRATIS/EXCHANGE
PRICE

ACC NO.

VIKRAM SARABHAY LIBRARY

AN EOQ MODEL UNDER PRICE CHANGE ANTICIPATION FOR A SYSTEM WITH INSUFFICIENT STORAGE CAPACITY

by

NITIN SHAH Indian Institute of Management Vastrapur AHMEDABAD 380 Ø56(India) Dr. Y.K. SHAH
Department of Statistics
School of Sciences
Gujarat University
AHMEDABAD 380 009(India)

ABSTRACT

When a price change is announced in an inventory system, a one time decision has to be made to purchase a large quantity Q' before the price change becomes effective to take advantage of current lower price. In this note, we consider a system having a limited storage capacity W << Q', so that additional units are required to be stored in rented warehouse. Optimum value of Q' and corresponding gain are determined. The model is illustrated with an example.

INTRODUCTIN .

In the classical KOQ system it is implcitly assumed that the inventory system under considerations has sufficient storage capacity to store the optimum order quantity, and that the unit cost remains unchanged during the period under considerations Naddor [4] has considered a model to determine optimum purchase quantity when the supplier announces a fixed price increase with effect from some given time instant T₀. In such a situation it is obvious that a large quantity Q' (say) should be purchased before T₀, in order to take advantage of present lower price. The inventory system under consideration has its own warehouse (OW) with a storage capacity of W units only. The present optimum

order quantity does not exceed W. But, the special order quantity Q'>> W, in such a situation, the excess (Q'-W) units are required to be kept in a rented warehouse (RW), which is assumed to have sufficiently large storage capacity.

Inventory models with two levels of storage i.e. in which the optimum order quantity is required to be stored at OW and RW has been developed by Hartley [2], Sarma [5,6], Dave [1], and Murdeshwar and Sathe [3]. They have considered deterministic system with fixed price.

In this paper we consider the problem of determining a special order quantity in the face of the known price increase, when the system does not have sufficient storage capacity to store the one time special order quantity in OW.

ASSUMPTION AND NOTATIONS

- i) The demand rate of R quantity units per time unit is known and constant.
- ii) Lead time is zero, and shortages are not allowed.
- iii) Replenishment rate is infinite. Replenishment size is the decision variable.
- iv) The storage capacity of OW is W, and that of RW is infinite.

 If order quantity exceeds W, then excess units are kept in RW. Demands are satisfied from OW only.
- v) The cost of transportation for K units from RW to OW in one transhipment is U(K).
- vi) If I_1 is the carrying charge fraction for OW, and I_2 is the carrying charge fraction for RW, then $I_1 < I_2$.

Following notations are used:

R = Demand rate per time unit

d = Cost per unit at present

λ = Known price increase as of time instant To.

Q. = EOQ before price increase

Q = EOQ after price increase

Q'= Special order quantity before price increase becomes effective.

W = Storage capacity of OW

I = Carrying charge fraction for OW.

In= Carrying charge fraction for RW.

VIERAM SARABHAI LIBRARY

- ILIDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT
VASTRAPUR, AHMEDABAD-380086

A = Replemishment cost per order.

To = Effective time of price increase.

 $T_i = Time$ when the special order gets depleted.

U(K) = a + b(K-P), where $a > b > \emptyset$ are constants.

The cost of transporting K units from RW to OW in one shipment, where 'P' is the maximum number of units which can be transported under the fixed charge 'a' and for every additional unit after 'P' a fixed charge 'b' is to be paid.

KNOWN PRICE INCREASE

Note that at present the optimum order quantity is:

$$Q_o = \sqrt{2AR/dI_1} \qquad \dots (1)$$

After the price change becomes effective the new price will be $(d+\lambda)$ per unit and the optimum lot-size will then be

$$Q_0^* = \sqrt{2AR/(d+\lambda)I_1} \qquad (2)$$

Obiviously $Q_o^{*} < Q_o$ and less then or equal to W.

The problem for the management is to determine the size of the order, before the price change becomes effective. If a quantity Q' is purchased just before T_0 , the next purchase will occur at T after an elapse (Q'/R) time units. All subsequent purchases will be made at the new price $(d+\lambda)$ and then the optimum order quantity is given by (2).

To determine the one time order size Q', to purchase prior to T_0 . We maximize the cost difference during the period (T_0,T_1) with and without one time special order.

Assumed that Q'>> W, so that W units are kept in OW, and (Q'-W) units are kept in RW. Earlier, $Q_0 \leqslant W$, so that, the entire lot-size were kept in OW only.

Following Sarma [5] and Dave [1], the total cost due to the special order quantity during (T_0,T_1) is given by

$$C'(Q',K) = dQ' + I_2 d Q'/2R + (d(I_2-I_1)/2R)\{W(W-K) + Q'(K-2W)\}$$

+ $(U(K)/K)(Q'-W) + A$ (3)

Let C(Q) denote the total cost of the system during (T_0, T_1) , when no special purchase is made just before T_0 , and several purchases of Q_0 are made at new price of $(d+\lambda)$, then the total cost during (T_0, T_1) is

$$C(Q) = (d+\lambda)Q' + (Q_0^{*}/2)[(d+\lambda)(I_1Q'R)] + A Q'/Q_0^{*}$$

= $Q'[(d+\lambda) + C_0^{*}/R]$ (4)

where

$$C_0^* = \sqrt{2A(d+\lambda)I_1R} \qquad (5)$$

To find the optimal value of K and Q', we maximize the differences between C(Q) and C'(Q',K). This difference, denoted by G(Q',K) is given by

For the optimum values of K, and Q'

$$\frac{9K}{9C(6, K)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{9G}{9C(6, K)} = 0$$

give

$$K_o = \sqrt{2R(a-bP)/d(I-I)} \qquad (7)$$

$$Q'_{o} = [R_{\lambda} + C_{o}^{*} + Wd(I_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda}) - Rb - \sqrt{2R(a - bP)d(I_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda})}]/I_{\lambda}d$$

The optimum gain is

$$G_{o}(Q_{o}^{\prime}, K_{o}) = (R \lambda^{2}/2I_{2}d) + (C_{o}^{\prime} \lambda/I_{2}d) + (A \lambda/d) + bW$$

$$+ ((I_{2}-I_{1})/I_{2})\{(a - bP) + W/R[\lambda + C_{o}^{\prime} - WdI_{1}/2 - Rb]\}$$

$$+ (\sqrt{2R(a-bP)(I_{2}-I_{1})d} / I_{2}d)[WdI_{1}/R - \lambda - C_{o}^{\prime}/R + b]$$

$$- (b/I_{2}d)[\lambda R + C_{o}^{\prime} - Rb/2] \qquad(9)$$

Note that when $I_2 = I_1$, and $U(K) ---> \emptyset$, then $A = C_3$ and the total maximum gain equation of the system becomes

$$G_{\bullet}(Q_{\bullet}') = R \lambda^{2} / I_{1} d + c_{\bullet}^{\dagger} \lambda / I_{1} d + c_{3} \lambda / d \qquad (10)$$

and
$$Q'_0 = (C_0^{\star} + R)/I_1 d$$
(11)

Equations [10] and [11] are the same those given by Naddor [4]

for the single storage model.

For the feasibility of the two storage facilities model, we should have $Q_{\lambda}^{*} > W$ and $K \leqslant W$.

Numerical Example

We discuss the results obtained with the help of a hypothetical numerical example.

Consider an inventory systems with following parameter values: R = 10000 units per annum, d = Rs. 10 per unit $\lambda = 1(0.25)3$, $I_1 = 0.2$, $I_2 = 0.4$, A = Rs. 100, a = Rs 100, W = 1000 units and P = 10000 units per transhipment.

In this case, $Q_0 = 1000$, $K_0 = 1000$ units = P for $\lambda = 1(0.25)3$ the values of Q_0^* , C_0^* , Q_0' and $G_0 = G(Q_0', K_0)$ are shown in the Table 1. From Table 1, we find that the optimum values of Q_0' and $G_0(Q_0', K_0)$ increase with λ .

In the above case we have assumed W = 1000 units. For different warehouse capacity taking $\chi = 1$, we have $Q_0 = 1000$ units, $Q_0^* = 953$ units, $R_0 = 1000$ units and $Q_0^* = Rs 2098$. For different values of Q_0^* , the corresponding optimum values of Q_0^* and Q_0^* , Q_0^* , Q_0^* , are exhibited in Table 2. Where we observe that the Values of Q_0^* and Q_0^* , Q_0^* , Q_0^* , Q_0^* , and Q_0^* and Q_0^* , Q_0^* ,

Finally, we study the effect of variations in both λ and W on the values of Q'_{\bullet} and $G_{\bullet}(Q'_{\bullet},K_{\bullet})$. In each entry of Table 3, the upper value denotes Q'_{\bullet} and the figures in paranthesis indicates the optimum gain $G_{\bullet}(Q'_{\bullet},K_{\bullet})$. From the Table we observe that for any given W, values of Q'_{\bullet} and $G_{\bullet}(Q'_{\bullet},K_{\bullet})$ increase with increase in λ . However, for any given λ , the value of Q'_{\bullet} increase with

Table 1: Values Q., C., Q. and G. (Q., K.) with increasing >

λ	₽°	C *	ହ୍ର :	G(Q;,K)
1.00	953	2Ø98	3024	1384
1.25	943	2121	3655	2104
1.50	933	2145	4286	2982
1.75	923	2168	1917	4019
2.00	913	2191	5548	5216
2.25	9Ø4	2214	6178	6571
2.50	894	2236	6809	8Ø85
2.75	886	2258	7440	9758
3.00	877	228Ø	8070	11590

Table 7: Values of 0, , 0, , K, , C, , 0, and G, (0, ,K,) with different values of W and constant .

M	Demand	λ	Q.	ď.	К.	C *	Q' ₆	G(Q', K,)
1000	0 10000	1	1000	953	1000	2098	3Ø24	1384
110	10000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3074	1394
1200	3 10000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3124	14Ø3
1300	10000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3174	1411
1400	10000	1	1000	953	1000	2098	3224	1418
150%	3 10000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3274	1424
1600	10000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3324	1429
1700	10000	1.	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3374	1433
1800	10000	. 1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3424	1436
1906	10000	1	1000	953	1000	2098	3474	1438
2000	10000	' 1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3524	1439
2506	1 0000	1	1000	953	1000	2Ø98	3774	1429
3002	10000	1	1000.	953	1000	2Ø98	4024	1394

Values of Qu, G, (Qu, Ku) and Qu with varying capacity pwned warehouse(W) and known price change().

_									
	1.00	1.25	Values of 1.50	入 1.75	2.00	2.25	2.50	2.75	3.00
-	953	943	933	923	913	9Ø4	894	886	877
	3Ø24 (1384)	3655 (2104)	4286 (2982)	4917 (4Ø19)		6178 (6571)	68Ø9 (8Ø85)	744Ø (9758)	8Ø7Ø (1159Ø)
	3Ø74	37Ø5	4336	4967	5598	6228	6859	749Ø	812Ø
	(1394)	(2114)	(2992)	(4030)	(5226)	(6581)	(8Ø96)	(9769)	(116Ø1)
	3124	3755	4386	5017	5648	6278	69Ø9	754Ø	817Ø
	(1403)	(2123)	(3001)	(4039)	(5235)	(6591)	(81Ø6)	(9779)	(11611)
	3174	38Ø5	4436	5Ø67	5698	6328	6959	759Ø	822Ø
	(1411)	(2131)	(3010)	(4Ø47)	(5244)	(66ØØ)	(8114)	(9788)	(1162Ø)
	3224	3855	4486	5117	5748	6378	7ØØ9	764Ø	827Ø
	(1418)	(2138)	(3017)	(4055)	(5251)	(66Ø7)	(8122)	(9796)	(11628)
	3274	39Ø5	4536	5167	5798	6428	7Ø59	769Ø	832Ø
	(1429)	(2144)	(3Ø23)	(4061)	(5258)	(6614)	(8129)	(98Ø2)	(11635)
	3324	3955	4586	5217	5848	6478	71Ø9	774Ø	837Ø
	(1431)	(2149)	(3Ø28)	(4066)	(5263)	(6619)	(8134)	(98Ø8)	(11641)
	337 4	4005	4636	5267	5898	6528	7159	779Ø	842Ø
	(1433)	(2153)	(3Ø32)	(4071)	(5268)	(6624)	(8139)	(9813)	(11646
	3424 (1436)	4055 (2157)	4686 (3Ø36)	5317 (4074)		6578 (6628)	72Ø9 (8143)	784Ø (9817)	847Ø (1165Ø
	3474	41Ø5	4736	5367	5998	6628	7259	789Ø	852Ø
	(1438)	(2159)	(3Ø38)	(4076)	(5274)	(663Ø)	(8145)	(982Ø)	(11653
	3524	4155	4786	5417	6Ø48	667 8	73Ø9	794Ø	857Ø
	(1439)	(2160)	(3Ø39)	(4078)	(5275)	(6632)	(81 4 7)	(9821)	(11655
	3774	44Ø5	5Ø36	5667	6298	6928	7559	819Ø	882Ø
	(1429)	(215Ø)	(3Ø3Ø)	(4069)	(5268)	(6625)	(8141)	(9815)	(11649
	4Ø24	4655	5286	5917	6548	7,178	78Ø9	844Ø	9Ø7Ø
	(1394)	(2116)	(2996)	(4Ø36)	(5235)	(6592)	(81Ø9)	(9784)	(11619

s in paranthesis indicates value of Go(Qo,Ko)

increase in W, but the optimum gain increases only marginally upto certain fixed value of W and then it decreases, e.g. in this case the value of $G_{\bullet}(Q_{\bullet}^*,K_{\bullet})$ increase up to W is 2000, and then starts decreasing.

REFERENCES

- 1. DAVE, U. (1988), "On the EOQ Model with Two Levels of Storage", OPSEARCH, 25(3), pp. 190-96.
- 2. HARTLEY, RONALD, V. (1976), Operations Research A Managerial Emphasis, Good Year Publishing Company, California.
- 3. MURDESHWAR, T.M. AND SATHE, Y.S. (1985), "Some Aspects of Lot Size Models with Two Levels of Storage", OPSEARCH, 22(4), pp. 255-62.
- 4. NADDOR, E. (1966), <u>Inventory Systems</u>, John Willey, New York.
- 5. SARMA, K.V.S. (1983), A Deterministic Order Level Inventory Model with Two Levels of Storage and an Optimum Release Rule", OPSEARCH, 20(3), pp. 175-80.
- 6. -----, (1987), " A Deterministic Order Level Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Two Storage Facilities", <u>European Journal of Operational</u> Research, 29, pp. 70-73.

PURCHASED
APPROVAL
RATIS/EXCHANGE
PRICE
ACC NO.

ET I. M. AHMEBARAD.

VIKRAM SARABHAI LIBRARY