



NATIONAL INCOME AND SOCIAL WELFARE IN A FUBLIC GOOD ECONOMY

By Somdeb Lehiri

WP1027 WP 1992 (1027)

W P No. 1027 May 1992

The main objective of the working paper series of the IIIM is to help faculty members to test out their research findings at the pre-publication stage.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AHDEDABAD-380 015 INDIA

PURCHASED
APPROVAL
GRATIS/EXCHANGE

PRICES.

VIKRAM SARABHAL LIBR ...
I. I. M. AHMEDABAL

Abstract

In this paper we extend the relationship between social welfare and national income from an economy consisting solely of private goods to an economy consisting of public goods as well.

1. Introduction: Consider as in Laffont (1988) two states of the economy, state 1 and state 2. Either the **Pareto** criterion allows us to rank these two states or it does not. In the former case, we are allowed to choose unambiguously between the two states (if we accept the Pareto criterion). In the latter case, in order to rank the two states, it is necessary to make interpersonal utility comparison.

The standard theory in this respect, which is surveyed in Varian (1984), Laffont (1988) amongst others, is developed in a pure exchange economy with private goods and asserts that starting from a competitive equilibrium, the variation in national income evaluated at the initial prices provides a criterion for evaluating the change in social welfare if we consider that the observed competitive equilibrium corresponds to an optimal allocation of resources (from the perspective of this social welfare function).

Our purpose in this paper is to extend the above result to an economy consisting of both private and public goods. This adds a measure of realism to the existing literature on social welfare.

2. The Model: - In this paper we follow Mas-Colell (1980) or Lahiri (1991) and postulate the following model:

There are I agents in an economy. There are L private consumption goods and (purely for the sake of convenience) one pure public good, in the economy. The first private good, i.e. good 1, is a numeraire, and is the only good used to produce the public good. The input requirement for the production of the public good is summarized by a cost function $c:\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, where c(y) is the total amount of good 1, required to produce y units of the public good. We assume that the aggregate initial endowment of privately consumable goods in the economy is given by the vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}_+^L$. Agent i's preferences are summarized by a utility function $\mathbf{u}^i:\mathbb{R}_+^L \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbf{u}^i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{y})$ is the satisfaction derived by consumer i. from a consumption bundle consisting of y units of the public good and the vector

 x^{i} of private goods. Here $x^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Given w € 1, the set

$$F(w) = \{((x^{i})^{l}_{i=1}, y) \in (\Re^{L}_{+})^{l} \times \Re_{+} / \Sigma^{l}_{i=1} \times^{i}_{1} + c(y) \leq w \},$$

 $\Sigma_{i=1}^{i} \times_{j}^{i} \leq w_{j}$, $j=2,\ldots,L$

is the set of all feasible allocations given initial resources

For the sake of simplicity we assume that the economic environment (i.e. all utility functions and the cost function) is twice continuously differentiable. We further assume that $u^i: \mathbb{R}^L_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is concave for each $i=1,\ldots,l$ and $c: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex. All functions are assumed to be increasing.

Finally we assume that there exists a twice continuously differentiable Bergson-Samuelson social welfare function W: $\mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, which evaluates social states. The welfare function is assumed to be increasing in the utility levels of each agents.

3. The Problem and the result :- Consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{Max } W(u^{1}(x^{1},y),...,u^{1}(x^{1}y)) \\
\text{subject to } ((x^{1}),y) \in F(w)
\end{array}$$

Consider the vector of prices $(p_1,\ldots,p_l,t^l,\ldots,t^l)$ where $p_l=1$ and $t^l\in\mathbb{R}$ is a personalized price of the public good for agent i. i=1....,l. We assume that those prices correspond to a Pareto-optimal solution to the above maximization problem(*). The above vector of prices corresponds to a Lindahl equilibrium. starting from suitable initial endowments in a private ownership economy. Thus p_j , $j=2,\ldots,L$ are proportional to the Lagrange multipliers associated with each constraint to the problem(*) and $\Sigma_{i=1}^l t^i$ is proportional to C'(y).

Now if we imagine a change dw in the aggregate initial endowment vector, with its corresponding change in quantities consumed constrained by

$$\Sigma^{l}_{i=1} dx^{i}_{j} = dw_{j}, j=2,...L$$

 $\Sigma^{l}_{i=1} dx^{i}_{1} + c'(y)dy = dw_{l},$

we obtain a variation in welfare of

$$dW = E_i^{i=1} E_i^{j=1} \frac{3n_i^2}{2M} \frac{2n_i^2}{2M} \frac{dx_i^1}{2n_i^2} + E_i^{i=1} \frac{3n_i^2}{2M} \frac{3n_i^2}{3n_i^2} dx$$

 $\& \Sigma^{l}_{i=1} \Sigma^{L}_{j=1} p_{j} dx^{i}_{j} + c'(y') dy$

 $= \sum_{i=2}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{I} P_{i} dx^{i} + [\sum_{i=1}^{I} dx^{i}] + c'(y) dy$

 $= \sum_{j=2}^{1} p_j dw_j + dw_1$

VIKRAM SARABHAT LIBRARY
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT
VASTRAPUR, AHMEDABAD-380086

Therefore, for a marginal change in initial endowments we have

 $dW \ge 0 \iff \Sigma^{L}_{j=1} p_{j} dw_{j} + dw_{l} \ge 0.$

Starting from a Lindahl equilibrium. the variation in national income evaluated at the initial prices provides a criterion for evaluating the change in social welfare if we consider that the observed Lindahl equilibrium corresponds to an optimal allocation of resources (from the perspective of this social welfare function).

4. The Conclusion: This paper extends a result well known in the context of a private good economy to a mixed economy.

in an economy consisting of two goods, i.e. a private good and a public good if agents' preferences are quasi-linear (as in Gahvari (1992)) and the relevant cost function is linear. a separate endeavour (Lahiri (1992)) reveals that an increase in the availability of the private good leads to an increase in welfare for each individual agent, provided the solution to the resource allocation problem consists of first equally dividing the private good among all the agents and then operating the Lindahl mechanism. Thus if we were to use an arbitrary social welfare function to evaluate two different states of the economy, then this procedure would declare aggregate abundance as unequivocally superior to aggregate Further the results concerning individual deprivation. consumption of the goods and marginal utilities in Gahvari (1992). continue to hold.

In the general context as in this paper, the result is

weaker. We cannot say whether each individual benefits from growth. We can only say that society as a whole does, provided resource allocation and evaluation of welfare use the same social welfare function.

References :-

- F. Gahvari (1992): "Separability And Diminishing Marginal Utility". Oxford Economic Papers. 43, pages 684-688.
- J.J. Laffont (1988): "Fundamentals of Public Economics".
 MIT Press.
- S. Lahiri (1991) : "Distributive Justice With Externalities And Public Goods". Indian Institute of Management. Ahmedabad. Working Paper No. 993.
- 4. S. Lahiri (1992) :"When Does The Equal Income Lindahl Equilibrium Solution Satisfy Resource Monotonicity?". Indian Institute of Management. Ahmedabad. Working Paper No. 1019.
- 5. A. Mas Colell (1980): "Efficiency And Decentralization In The Pure Theory of Public Goods". Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. XCIV, No. 4, pages 625-641.
- 6. H. Varian (1984): "Micro-Economic Analysis", 2nd Edition. W.W. Norton and Co.. New York and London.

PURCHASED
APPROVAL
GRATIS/EXCHANGE

PRICE

ACC 100.
VIKRAM SARABHAI LIBRAKY
L. L. M., AHMEDABAD